

Board Members

David P. Yandell, Ph.D.
Chair
Maryann Santos de Barona, Ph.D.
Vice-Chair
Michael J. Rohrbaugh, Ph.D.
Secretary
Denise M. Bainton, J.D.
James J. Cox, Ed.D.
Wil R. Counts, Ph.D.
Manuel H. Delgado, Jr., J.D.
Joseph C. Donaldson
Maureen K. Lassen, Ph.D.



State of Arizona Board of Psychologist Examiners

1400 West Washington, Suite 235
Phoenix, Arizona 85007
Phone: 602-542-8162 Fax: 602-542-8279
E-Mail: info@psychboard.az.gov www.psychboard.az.gov

Staff

Maxine McCarthy
Executive Director

Marcus E. Harvey
Projects Specialist

David S. Shapiro
Investigator

Shari S. Courtney
Admin. Assistant

REGULAR SESSION MINUTES

1400 West Washington
Basement Conference Room, #B-1
Phoenix, AZ 85007

Friday, February 7, 2003

1. CALL TO ORDER

The regular session of the Arizona State Board of Psychologist Examiners was called to order by Chairperson Yandell at 8:40 a.m. on Friday, February 7, 2003. Six Executive Sessions were held from 9:35 a.m. to 10:10 a.m., 10:55 a.m. to 11:07 a.m., 11:20 a.m. to 11:40 a.m., 2:07 p.m. to 2:30 p.m., 4:50 p.m. to 5:19 p.m., and 6:40 p.m. to 6:50 p.m. for the purpose of obtaining confidential legal advice.

2. ROLL CALL

Board Members Present

David P. Yandell, Ph.D. - Chairperson
Maryann Santos de Barona, Ph.D. - Vice-Chairperson
Michael J. Rohrbaugh, Ph.D. - Secretary
Denise M. Bainton, J.D.
Wil R. Counts, Ph.D.
James J. Cox, Ed.D.
Manuel H. Delgado, Jr., J.D.*
Maureen K. Lassen, Ph.D.**

Staff Present

Maxine McCarthy, Executive Director
Marcus Harvey, Projects Specialist
David Shapiro, Investigator
Shari Courtney, Administrative Assistant

Attorney General's Office

Nancy J. Beck, J.D.[†],
Assistant Attorney General

Board Members Absent

None

* Present after 9:45 a.m.

** Present from 8:45 a.m. to 7:10 p.m.

[†] Present until 7:10 p.m.

3. REMARKS/ANNOUNCEMENTS

Dr. Yandell announced that documentation was available for licensees who wished to receive continuing education credit for attending Board meetings. He also stated that anyone was welcome to complete a Board meeting assessment survey. Dr. Yandell also congratulated Dr. Santos de Barona for being reappointed to the Board by Governor Napolitano.

4. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

- **Regular Session – December 6-7, 2002**

A motion was made by Dr. Cox, seconded by Dr. Santos de Barona, and unanimously carried (6-0-3), with Mr. Donaldson abstaining from the vote and Dr. Lassen and Mr. Delgado not yet present, to approve the December 6-7, 2002 Regular Session minutes.

- **Executive Session – December 6-7, 2002**

A motion was made by Dr. Counts, seconded by Dr. Cox, and unanimously carried (6-0-3), with Mr. Donaldson abstaining from the vote and Dr. Lassen and Mr. Delgado not yet present, to approve the December 6-7, 2002 Executive Session minutes.

- **Conference Call Regular Session – January 3, 2003**

A motion was made by Dr. Cox, seconded by Ms. Bainton, and unanimously carried (5-0-4), with Mr. Donaldson and Dr. Santos de Barona abstaining from the vote and Dr. Lassen and Mr. Delgado not yet present, to approve the January 3, 2003 Conference Call Executive Session minutes.

5. CALL TO THE PUBLIC

Chairperson Yandell gave the public the opportunity to address the Board at this time. Dianne Post addressed the Board regarding Dr. Ronald Lavit. Virginia Chaffin addressed the Board regarding Dr. Joel Glassman. M.L., the Complainant in Request for Investigation (RFI) No. 02-57, addressed the Board regarding his complaint against Dr. David Maselli. Richard Morris, Ph.D., addressed the Board regarding the Board's website and the practice of school psychologists in charter schools, as well as common and high school settings. Steve Myers, J.D., attorney for Dr. Walter Fidler, addressed the Board regarding Case No. 02-35. Finally, Michael Wolver, J.D., attorney for Dr. Edward Ronstadt-Martinez, made a statement to the Board regarding RFI 02-47.

6. INFORMAL INTERVIEW – CONTINUED FROM 12/02 MEETING – SUSAN L. SASLOW, Ph.D. – COMPLAINT No. 02-32

Dr. Yandell announced that it was time and place for the continuation of the Informal Interview of Dr. Susan Saslow. Dr. Saslow introduced herself and her attorney, Melody Emmert, J.D., as did Board members and Staff. Nancy Beck, J.D. was also present as counsel for the Board. The Complainant, who was out of state, made a statement to the Board, after being sworn in by the court reporter, whose transcript shall serve as the official record of the proceedings. Dr. Saslow made a brief reply, after being sworn in, following which Board members reviewed draft findings of fact and conclusions of law.

After some discussion, a motion was made by Dr. Cox, seconded by Dr. Rohrbaugh, and unanimously carried (9-0), to adopt the amended findings of fact. Dr. Cox then made a motion, seconded by Dr. Counts, and unanimously carried (9-0), to adopt the draft conclusions of law. After further deliberation, Dr. Cox made a motion, seconded by Dr. Santos de Barona, and unanimously carried (9-0) to order Dr. Saslow to release the records to the Complainant, as required by law, within 10 days from the date of the Board Order, and to provide evidence to the Board that the records have been sent. She was further placed on probation until she obtains 12 hours of continuing education in the area of recordkeeping, which are in addition to the 60-hour required for renewal of licensure. Dr. Saslow then gave a brief response to the Board.

7. INFORMAL INTERVIEW – CONTINUED FROM 12/02 MEETING – MICHELLE JENKINS, Ph.D. – COMPLAINT No. 02-18

Dr. Yandell announced that it was the time and place for the continuation of the informal interview of Dr. Michelle Jenkins. Tamara Cook, J.D., was present to represent Dr. Jenkins, who was unable to attend due to medical reasons. Ms. Cook made a statement to the Board. A court reporter was present, whose transcript shall serve as the official record of the proceedings. The Complainant was not present and a motion was made by Mr. Delgado, seconded by Dr. Cox, and unanimously carried (9-0), to move into Executive Session for the purpose of obtaining confidential legal advice.

Upon return to open session, Board members resumed deliberations. A motion was made by Dr. Santos de Barona and seconded by Dr. Cox, and unanimously carried (9-0), to offer Dr. Jenkins a Consent Agreement stipulating that

she would not renew her license in 2003, and that she may not practice psychology or hold herself out as licensed to practice psychology. It was also noted that a license renewal notice would not be sent to Dr. Jenkins in March, 2003.

8. INFORMAL INTERVIEW – JOHN C. STAPERT, Ph.D. – COMPLAINT Nos. 02-38

Dr. Yandell recused himself and Vice-Chairperson Dr. Santos de Barona chaired this proceeding. She announced that it was the time and place for the informal interview of Dr. John Stapert. Dr. Stapert was present with his attorney, Duane Olson, J.D., who introduced themselves, as did Board members and staff. Ms. Beck was also present as counsel for the Board. Dr. Santos de Barona explained the procedures for the interview and David Shapiro, Board Investigator, summarized the allegations for the Board. Dr. Stapert was sworn in by the court reporter, whose transcript shall serve as the official record of the proceedings. Board members questioned Dr. Stapert, which was followed by a statement from Mr. Olson. Board members then proceeded to deliberate, whereupon, a motion was made by Dr. Cox, seconded by Mr. Donaldson, and unanimously carried (8-0-1), to moved into Executive Session for the purpose of obtaining confidential legal advice.

Upon return to open session, Board members resumed deliberations. Dr. Cox made a motion, seconded by Mr. Donaldson, and carried (6-2-1), with Drs. Rohrbaugh and Santos de Barona voting no, to adopt conclusions of law that Dr. Stapert violated A.R.S. § 32-2061(A)(13)(j) and (k) and A.A.C. R4-26-209. Ms. Bainton then made a motion, seconded by Dr. Cox, and carried (6-2-1), with Drs. Rohrbaugh and Santos de Barona voting no, to adopt findings of fact that Dr. Stapert supervised three supervisees for whom he received compensation and that he made statements on supervision verification forms that were untrue. A motion was then made by Dr. Santos de Barona, seconded by Dr. Lassen, and unanimously carried (8-0-1), to order a term of probation for Dr. Stapert, which terminates once he returns all fees received for supervision, including the 10% retained.

9. INFORMAL INTERVIEW – RICHARD SAMUELS, Ph.D. – COMPLAINT Nos. 02-37

Dr. Yandell announced that it was the time and place for the informal interview of Dr. Richard Samuels. Dr. Samuels was present with his attorney, Steve Myers, J.D., who introduced themselves, as did Board members and staff. Ms. Beck was also present as counsel for the Board. Dr. Yandell explained the procedures for the interview and Dr. Samuels was sworn in by the court reporter, whose transcript shall serve as the official record of the proceedings. Board members questioned Dr. Samuels, which was followed by a statement from Mr. Myers. Board members then proceeded to deliberate, whereupon a motion was made by Ms. Bainton, seconded by Mr. Delgado, and unanimously carried (9-0), to move into Executive Session for the purpose of obtaining confidential legal advice.

Upon return to open session, Board members resumed deliberations. A motion was made by Dr. Counts, seconded by Mr. Delgado, and unanimously carried (9-0), to dismiss the complaint, as there was no violation of the Board's statutes or rules.

Saturday, February 8, 2003

10. CALL TO ORDER

The regular session of the Arizona State Board of Psychologist Examiners was called to order by Chairperson Yandell at 8:40 a.m. on Saturday, February 8, 2003. One Executive Session was held from 9:10 a.m. to 9:30 a.m. for the purpose of receiving confidential legal advice.

Agenda item nos. 14 and 15, RFI 02-62, and a portion of item no. 17 took place on Friday, February 7, 2003, as noted.

11. ROLL CALL

Board Members Present

David P. Yandell, Ph.D. - Chairperson
Maryann Santos de Barona, Ph.D. - Vice-Chairperson

Staff Present

Maxine McCarthy, Executive Director
Marcus Harvey, Projects Specialist

Michael J. Rohrbaugh, Ph.D. - Secretary
Denise M. Bainton, J.D.*
Wil R. Counts, Ph.D.
James J. Cox, Ed.D.
Joseph C. Donaldson
Maureen K. Lassen, Ph.D.

David Shapiro, Investigator
Shari Courtney, Administrative Assistant

Attorney General's Office

Nancy J. Beck, J.D.[†],
Assistant Attorney General

Board Members Absent

Manuel H. Delgado, Jr., J.D.**

* Present after 9:10 a.m.

** Was present until 8:55 a.m.

† Present until 1:00 p.m.

12. MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION/REHARING – COMPLAINT No. 01-47 – ANTHONY LUICK, Ph.D.

Ms. Bainton recused herself from this hearing. Dr. Yandell announced that it was the time and place for oral argument on the motion for reconsideration or rehearing by Dr. Anthony Luick. Dr. Luick was present with his attorney, Ronald Newman, J.D., who introduced themselves, as did Board members and staff. Ms. Beck was also present as counsel for the Board. Dr. Yandell explained the procedures for this proceeding and Mr. Newman made a statement to the Board, after which Board members proceeded to deliberate. A motion was made by Mr. Donaldson, seconded by Dr. Santos de Barona, and unanimously carried (7-0-1), to move into Executive Session for the purpose of obtaining confidential legal advice.

Upon return to open session, Board members resumed deliberations. A motion was made by Dr. Cox, seconded by Mr. Donaldson, and carried (6-0-2), with Dr. Rohrbaugh abstaining from the vote, to deny the motion.

13. DISCUSSION/DECISION REGARDING INITIAL REVIEW OF REQUESTS FOR INVESTIGATION (RFI)

RFI 02-47 Edward Ronstadt-Martinez, Ph.D.

Mr. Shapiro summarized the allegations for the Board. No one was present to speak (except that Michael Wolver, J.D., attorney for Dr. Edward Ronstadt-Martinez, made a statement to the Board regarding this case, the day before during Call to the Public.) Board members began to deliberate, and after discussion Dr. Counts made a motion, seconded by Dr. Cox, and carried (8-0), to issue a letter of concern to Dr. Ronstadt-Martinez, expressing the Board's concerns that his cancellation policy regarding missed appointments was overly restrictive and that he did not adhere to Medicare claim submission requirements for a Medicare eligible patient.

RFI 02-53 Anne C. May, Ph.D.

Mr. Shapiro summarized the allegations for the Board. Dr. Anne May was present but did not wish to speak and Board members proceeded to discuss the case. A motion was made by Dr. Santos de Barona, seconded by Dr. Counts, and unanimously carried (8-0), to dismiss the case as there was no violation of the Board's statutes or rules.

RFI 02-55 Ronald J. Lavit, Ph.D.

Mr. Shapiro summarized the allegations for the Board. No one was present to speak and Board members proceeded to deliberate. A motion was made by Ms. Bainton, seconded by Dr. Counts, and unanimously carried (8-0), to invite Dr. Lavit to an informal interview to address allegations of possible violations of A.R.S. § 32-2061(A)(13)(h) and (o).

RFI 02-56 Joel B. Glassman, Ph.D.

Mr. Shapiro summarized the allegations for the Board. The Complainant was present and made a statement to the Board. Dr. Glassman was not present and Board members proceeded to deliberate. A motion was made by Mr. Donaldson, seconded by Dr. Rohrbaugh, and unanimously carried (8-0), to dismiss the case as there was no violation of the Board's statutes or rules.

RFI 02-57 David J. Maselli, Ph.D.

Mr. Shapiro summarized the allegations for the Board. No one was present to speak (except that the Complainant addressed the Board regarding his complaint the day before during Call to the Public) and Board members proceeded

to discuss the case. Dr. Cox made a motion, seconded by Dr. Santos de Barona, and unanimously carried (8-0), to dismiss the case as there was no violation of the Board's statutes or rules.

RFI 02-58 Lidia Artiola, Ph.D.

Dr. Rohrbaugh recused himself from this proceeding. Mr. Shapiro summarized the allegations for the Board. No one was present to speak and Board members proceeded to deliberate. A motion was made by Dr. Lassen, seconded by Mr. Donaldson, and unanimously carried (7-0-1), to dismiss the case as there was no violation of the Board's statutes or rules.

RFI 02-59 Joel B. Glassman, Ph.D.

Mr. Shapiro summarized the allegations for the Board. The Complainant was present and made a statement to the Board. Dr. Glassman was not present and Board members proceeded to deliberate. After further inquiry, it was the consensus of the Board to keep this investigation ongoing to gather more information.

RFI 02-60 Daniel J. Gaughan, Ph.D.

Mr. Shapiro summarized the allegations for the Board. No one was present to speak and Board members proceeded to deliberate. A motion was made by Dr. Counts, seconded by Dr. Lassen, and unanimously carried (8-0), to dismiss the case as there was no violation of the Board's statutes or rules.

RFI 02-61 Celia A. Drake, Ph.D.

Mr. Shapiro summarized the allegations for the Board. No one was present to speak and Board members proceeded to deliberate. A motion was made by Dr. Cox, seconded by Dr. Santos de Barona, and unanimously carried (8-0), to dismiss the case as there was no violation of the Board's statutes or rules.

RFI 02-62 Thomas Erich Hansen, Ph.D. – Friday, February 7, 2003

Mr. Shapiro summarized the allegations for the Board. Dr. Hansen was present and made a statement to the Board, after which Board members proceeded to deliberate. A motion was made by Dr. Cox, seconded by Dr. Counts, and unanimously carried (8-0), with Dr. Lassen absent, to dismiss the case as there was no violation of the Board's statutes or rules.

RFI 02-63 John C. Moran, Ph.D.

Mr. Shapiro summarized the allegations for the Board. No one was present to speak and Board members proceeded to deliberate. A motion was made by Mr. Donaldson, seconded by Ms. Bainton, and unanimously carried (8-0), to dismiss the case as there was no violation of the Board's statutes or rules.

RFI 03-01 Michael B. Bayless, Ph.D.

Mr. Donaldson and Drs. Cox and Counts recused themselves from this proceeding. Mr. Shapiro summarized the allegations for the Board. No one was present to speak and Board members proceeded to deliberate. A motion was made by Ms. Bainton, seconded by Dr. Lassen, and carried (4-1-3), to offer Dr. Bayless a Consent Agreement, in lieu of an informal interview, for a violation of A.R.S. § 32-2061(A)(13)(o). The Consent Agreement would restrict his practice so that he shall not evaluate or assess clients with neuropsychological impairment, until he provides evidence to the Board that he has the appropriate training to do so, and that he refund all fees he received from the client.

RFI 03-02 Ronald A. Peterson, Ph.D.

Dr. Yandell read a summary of the allegations for the Board. No one was present to speak and Board members proceeded to deliberate. Dr. Lassen made a motion, seconded by Dr. Counts, and unanimously carried (8-0), to dismiss the case as there was no violation of the Board's statutes or rules.

RFI 03-03 Gordon W. Cuddeby, Ph.D.

Mr. Shapiro summarized the allegations for the Board. No one was present to speak and Board members proceeded to deliberate. A motion was made by Dr. Counts, seconded by Ms. Bainton, and unanimously carried (8-0), to offer Dr. Cuddeby a Consent Agreement, in lieu of an informal interview, for a violation of A.R.S. § 32-2061(A)(13)(h) and A.A.C. R4-26-106(C). Under the Consent Agreement, Dr. Cuddeby would pay a \$300 civil penalty for failing to maintain R.W.'s records for seven years after the last client contact, as required by law.

14. DISCUSSION/DECISION REGARDING CASE No. 02-35 – WALTER E. FIDLER, Ph.D. – Friday, February 7, 2003

Dr. Yandell announced that Dr. Walter Fidler was invited to an informal interview but had declined to attend. Mr. Shapiro summarized the allegations for the Board. Steve Myers, J.D., attorney for Dr. Walter Fidler, had addressed the Board earlier regarding this case, during Call to the Public. Dr. Lassen made a motion, seconded by Mr. Donaldson, and unanimously carried (9-0), to move into Executive Session for the purpose of obtaining confidential legal advice.

Upon return to open session, Board members proceeded to discuss the case. Ms. Bainton then made a motion, seconded by Dr. Cox, and unanimously carried (9-0), to dismiss the complaint, as there was no violation of the Board's statutes or rules.

15. DISCUSSION/DECISION REGARDING CASE Nos. 02-14, 02-15 and 02-21 – RONALD J. LAVIT, Ph.D.
– Friday, February 7, 2003

Mr. Shapiro summarized the allegations for the Board. The Complainant in Case No. 02-15 was present and made a statement to the Board. The Complainants in Case Nos. 02-14 and 02-21 also addressed the Board on Saturday, February 8, 2003. Dr. Yandell explained that this matter was on the December 6, 2002 agenda, but that the meeting went long and the matter was rescheduled. However, Dr. Yandell explained, Dr. Lavit had since declined to attend an informal interview. Board members proceeded to deliberate, whereupon Dr. Lassen made a motion, seconded by Dr. Cox, and unanimously carried (9-0), to move into Executive Session for the purpose of obtaining confidential legal advice.

Upon return to open session, Board members resumed deliberations. Dr. Cox then made a motion, seconded by Dr. Lassen, and unanimously carried (9-0), to issue Dr. Lavit a strongly worded letter of concern expressing the Board's concerns that:

1. Test scoring contained errors, suggesting that either he exhibited a lack of attention, or that he did not verify the work of the person or persons who scored the test.
2. Tests were administered to people who were charged for the testing, but he consistently failed to include much of the testing information in his custody reports, without any explanation.
3. He interpreted test data in an inconsistent manner.
4. He failed to list in his custody reports the name(s) of consultants or others who assisted him with custody evaluations.
5. Several of his custody evaluations failed to follow his own standard protocols.
6. He made recommendations in his custody reports that are not supported by the data.
7. He failed to distinguish between facts and allegations in his custody reports.
8. His billing records used vague terms such as "consultation" for multiple entries and did not clearly identify the service that he performed.
9. Clients frequently had to wait in his office for long periods of time and he often failed to appear at all for scheduled appointments without prior notice to clients.
10. He solicited the attorney of a person involved in a custody matter to intervene with that person to discourage the person from filing a complaint with the Board of Psychologist Examiners.
11. He accepted more clients than he could handle, resulting in lengthy evaluations and untimely completion of reports.
12. The concerns described above demonstrate a consistent pattern of disregard for the needs of the persons evaluated, which added to the tension and stress of these families.

16. BOARD MEMBER REPORT

- **COMPETENCIES CONFERENCE In Scottsdale, Arizona On November 7-9, 2002**

This report was tabled to a subsequent meeting.

17. COUNSEL REPORTS

- **Presentation at Family Court Training** – Nancy Beck, Assistant Attorney General, reported that she was invited to be one of the presenters at the Family Court Training on January 10, 2003, for psychologists, counselors, and attorneys who work in family court, regarding how to avoid board complaints. During the presentation, Ms. Beck also discussed the scope of investigative authority of the Board of Psychologist Examiners, the complaint investigation and resolution process, and related matters.

- **Education Update – Board Procedures** – *Friday, February 7, 2003*

A motion was made by Dr. Santos de Barona, seconded by Dr. Rohrbaugh, and unanimously carried (8-0-1), with Mr. Delgado not yet present, to move into Executive Session for the purpose of obtaining confidential legal advice. Voting on the motion was held in abeyance so that Ms. Beck could give an appeals status report in public session.

- **Litigation**

- ❖ **Allender v. Board – CV2001-008193** – Ms. Beck reported that the Board prevailed in the Court of Appeals, which issued a memorandum decision on January 21, 2003, upholding the Board's decree of censure for commission of an offense involving moral turpitude. Ms. Beck noted that the Court found that a decree of censure was "neither disproportionate nor shocking". She also indicated that the Court rejected Dr. Allender's argument that the censure was improper because his conduct did not occur in the actual practice of psychology. She further stated that Dr. Allender could request review by the Arizona Supreme Court, if he wished.

- ❖ **McDonald v. Board – CV2001-329**

- ❖ **Board v. McDonald 1CA-CV02-0518**

Ms. Beck reported that the Superior Court vacated the Board's order to Dr. Craydon McDonald, and that there has been an appeal, and a cross-appeal. Ms. Beck has submitted her opening brief, and Dr. McDonald has submitted an answering brief, which is also his answering brief on cross-appeal. The Court of Appeals has sent the case back to the Superior Court to resolve the issue of attorney fees, before it hears the cross-appeal. Ms. Beck stated that the court slightly increased the attorney fees to be returned to Dr. McDonald. She also provided a letter to the Board expressing Dr. McDonald's concerns about information regarding him on the Board's website, and explained her response.

18. EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT

- **Financial** – Maxine McCarthy, Executive Director, explained that once she prepares the budget request, it is reviewed by the Governor's Office and the Legislative staff who make recommendations. In her experience over the years, when there is a difference between the agency's request, the Governor's recommendation and the Legislative recommendation, that the Legislature almost always adopts the Legislative staff's numbers. Ms. McCarthy reported that she has been notified that most 90/10 agencies such as the Board have been placed on a consent agenda before a joint subcommittee of the house and senate and will not be having a separate hearing. At that time, the subcommittee will consider the JLBC's recommendation. Ms. McCarthy stated that the Governor's office agreed with most of the Board's numbers, but the Legislative staff did not. Even though she submitted a zero base budget, JLBC is recommending a \$12,600 reduction from the current appropriation.

Ms. McCarthy stated that two of the reclassifications for the Administrative Assistant and the Investigator II positions were approved by ADOA Human Resources in October, adding that she was notified that they have also approved the establishment of the Deputy Director position. She also announced that she has selected Marcus Harvey to fill the Deputy Director position. In addition, she noted, she has not yet submitted the reclassification request for the Executive Director position.

Ms. McCarthy reported that with one-half of the fiscal year expended, the Board has spent only 41% of its appropriation, which indicates that once again, the agency's finances have been managed in a fiscally conservative manner. She reported that the license renewal notices are already printed and ready to mail on

March 5th. Staff will be very busy processing renewals starting about the middle of March and anticipate bringing in several hundred thousand dollars in renewal fees.

- **Newsletter** – Ms. McCarthy also asked Board member to review the draft newsletter and inform Staff of any comments or suggestions. She noted that Mr. Harvey had done his usual fine job of putting it together and that Mr. Donaldson had written an excellent article from a public board member prospective.
- **Rule Revisions** – Finally, Ms. McCarthy reported that the Board's rule packet was on the Governor's Regulatory Review Council agenda on February 4th and passed with flying colors. The rules now go to the Secretary of State and will become effective in 60 days, she stated, making this rule revision about a 13- month process from beginning to end.

19. LICENSING REPORT

- **New Licenses Issued** – Marcus Harvey, Projects Specialist, reported that the Board had licensed the following 10 psychologists since the December meeting, three of them by credential:

3544	Farr, Robert, Ph.D.	3549	Stevenson, Mark, Ph.D.
3545	Harrison, Patricia, Ph.D.	3550	McLean, Carol, Ph.D.
3546	Zimmerman, Gretchen, Psy.D.	3551	Martiny, Brita, Ph.D.
3547	Sweet, John, Ed.D.	3552	Garcia, Alicia, Ph.D.
3548	Bicicchi, Katherine, Psy.D.	3553	Fielder, Katherine, Ph.D.

Mr. Harvey reported that that the total number of licenses the Board issued in calendar year 2002 was 65, and that this year, 8 licenses had been issued to date.

- **EPPP Results** – Mr. Harvey reported that the following three applicants passed the Examination for Professional Practice in Psychology (EPPP) in November and December:
Fielder, Katherine, Ph.D.
Garcia, Alicia, Ph.D.
McLean, Carol, Ph.D.

The following two applicants were reported as having failed the EPPP:

Bengtson, Bradley, Psy.D.
Brucato, Toni, Psy.D.

- **New Applications** – Finally, Mr. Harvey reported that that the total number of applications the Board issued in calendar year 2002 was 81, and that 7 applications had been received to date this year.

20. COMMITTEE REPORTS

• APPLICATIONS REVIEW COMMITTEE

- **Requests to Sit for the EPPP** –Dr. Cox reported that the application of **Julie Feldman, Ph.D.** remained ongoing.

Dr. Cox then explained the circumstances in the application of **Tad Skinner, Ph.D.**, and requested guidance from the Board. Board members proceeded to discuss the application, and a motion was made by Dr. Counts to move into Executive Session, which died for lack of a second. After further deliberation, Dr. Cox made a motion, seconded by Dr. Counts, and unanimously carried (8-0), that Dr. Skinner had met the requirements of A.R.S. § 32-2071 and A.A.C. R4-26-203, and that he be approved to sit for the Examination for Professional Practice in Psychology, and for licensure upon receipt of a passing score on the Examination, and payment of the pro-rated original license fee.

- **Requests for Licensure** – Dr. Cox next explained the circumstances of the application of **Michael Lavoie**,

Ph.D., and noted that, again, the Committee was requesting guidance. Board members discussed the application and it was the consensus of the Board to keep the application ongoing, and to send a letter to Dr. Lavoie requesting additional information regarding one of the two supervisors in his internship training program.

Dr. Cox then made a motion, seconded by Ms. Bainton, and unanimously carried (8-0), that the following applicants, having met the requirements of A.R.S. § 32-2071.01(A), be approved for licensure upon payment of the pro-rated original license fee:

- **Brown, Norma, Ph.D.**
- **St. Clair, John, Ph.D.**

The following applications also remained ongoing:

- Anderson, Raymond, Ph.D.
- Darby, Betty, Ph.D.
- Emmons, Shawn, Ph.D.
- Tollefson, Bruce, Ph.D.

- **Ratification of Licenses Issued by Credential** – Dr. Cox then made a motion, seconded by Dr. Santos de Barona, and unanimously carried (8-0), that the Board ratify issuance of licensure by credential of **Gretchen Zimmerman, Psy.D.**, who has met the requirements of A.R.S. § 32-2071.01(B).

21. ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business to come before the Board, a motion was made by Dr. Lassen, seconded by Dr. Santos de Barona, and unanimously carried (8-0), to adjourn the meeting at 4:55 p.m.

Prepared by:

**Marcus Harvey
Projects Specialist**

Respectfully submitted,

**/s/Michael J. Rohrbaugh, Ph.D.
Secretary**